

The Economics of Happiness

Can Money Buy Well-Being?

C1 Level Reading Material

Fleydo English School

Chapter I: The GDP Illusion

What the world's favourite economic metric measures — and what it misses entirely

For the better part of a century, **Gross Domestic Product** (GDP) has served as the supreme measure of national success. Governments rise and fall on its fluctuations; central banks calibrate monetary policy to its trajectory; international organisations rank nations by its magnitude. Yet GDP was never designed to measure human welfare, and its inventor, the economist Simon Kuznets, explicitly warned against using it for that purpose. In his 1934 report to the United States Congress, Kuznets cautioned that "the welfare of a nation can scarcely be inferred from a measurement of national income." That warning has been comprehensively ignored.

GDP measures the total monetary value of all goods and services produced within a country's borders in a given period. As an **aggregate** measure of economic activity, it has genuine utility: it captures the productive capacity of an economy, facilitates international comparison, and provides a useful — if rough — gauge of material living standards. The problem is not what GDP measures but what it does not measure, and the perverse incentives that arise when a society treats a single **metric** as its primary measure of progress.

GDP counts the production of cigarettes but not the healthcare costs of smoking-related illness. It counts the construction of prisons but not the social conditions that fill them. It registers oil spills as economic activity — the cleanup costs boost GDP — while entirely ignoring the ecological devastation they cause. It assigns no value whatsoever to unpaid domestic labour, volunteer work, or the care of children and elderly relatives — activities overwhelmingly performed by women that are essential to the functioning of every society on Earth. And it is entirely blind to the **distribution** of wealth: a country in which a tiny elite hoards vast riches while the majority subsists in poverty can register the same GDP per capita as a country in which prosperity is broadly shared.

Environmental **externalities** — the costs imposed on society and the natural world by economic activity that are not reflected in market prices — represent perhaps the most consequential omission. The relentless pursuit of GDP growth has driven deforestation, ocean acidification,

biodiversity loss, and the climate crisis. A factory that poisons a river generates GDP; the loss of the river's ecosystem services, the contamination of drinking water, and the long-term health consequences for downstream communities are invisible to the metric.



Chapter II: The Easterlin Paradox

Why getting richer doesn't always mean getting happier

In 1974, the economist Richard Easterlin published a landmark paper that challenged one of the most deeply held assumptions of modern economics: the belief that rising income leads, straightforwardly and indefinitely, to rising happiness. Easterlin's analysis revealed a striking **paradox**: while wealthier individuals within a given country consistently report higher **life satisfaction** than their poorer compatriots, increases in a nation's average income over time do not produce corresponding increases in average happiness. The United States, for example, experienced a roughly threefold increase in real per capita income between 1946 and 1990, yet average reported happiness remained essentially flat.

This finding — known as the Easterlin Paradox — has generated decades of debate and a vast body of research. The most widely accepted explanation centres on two complementary psychological mechanisms: **hedonic adaptation** and **social comparison**.

Hedonic adaptation — sometimes called the "hedonic **treadmill**" — refers to the well-documented tendency of human beings to return to a relatively stable level of happiness despite major positive or negative life events. A lottery winner experiences an initial surge of elation, but within months, the novelty fades, expectations adjust upward, and the individual's happiness reverts toward its pre-windfall **baseline**. The new car, the larger house, the higher salary — each delivers a burst of satisfaction that proves maddeningly transient.

Social comparison — the tendency to evaluate one's own circumstances relative to those of others rather than in absolute terms — provides the second piece of the puzzle. What matters for **subjective well-being** is not how much one earns in absolute terms but how much one earns relative to one's peers, neighbours, and reference group. This phenomenon, known as **relative deprivation**, explains why individuals in highly unequal societies often report lower life satisfaction than their counterparts in more **egalitarian** societies, even when their absolute income is higher.

The implications are profound. Beyond a threshold sufficient to meet basic material needs — estimated by various studies at roughly \$60,000–\$90,000 in annual household income in high-income countries — additional income produces **diminishing returns** in terms of happiness. Below this threshold, money matters enormously: poverty is a reliable predictor of misery. Above it, the **correlation** between income and happiness weakens dramatically, and non-material factors — the quality of relationships, a sense of purpose, physical and mental health, personal freedom —

become far more significant determinants of well-being.



Chapter III: The Nordic Model

Why Scandinavians consistently rank among the happiest people on Earth

Year after year, the United Nations World Happiness Report places the Nordic countries — Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden, and Iceland — at or near the top of its global rankings. Finland has held the number-one position for seven consecutive years. This consistency demands explanation, and the answer lies not in Scandinavian weather (which is, by most accounts, dreadful) or in any innate cultural disposition toward cheerfulness, but in a set of institutional arrangements collectively known as the Nordic **welfare state**.

The Nordic model is characterised by **universal** public services — healthcare, education from pre-school through university, childcare, and eldercare — funded through high levels of taxation and delivered to all citizens regardless of income. Generous parental leave policies (up to 480 days in Sweden), robust unemployment insurance, and comprehensive pension systems provide a safety net that virtually eliminates the existential economic insecurity that plagues citizens of less generous welfare states. The result is a society in which the fear of financial catastrophe — from illness, job loss, or old age — is largely removed from daily life.

Crucially, the Nordic countries combine extensive welfare provision with high levels of interpersonal and institutional **trust**. Surveys consistently show that Scandinavians trust their fellow citizens, their governments, and their public institutions to a degree that citizens of most other countries find almost inconceivable. This **social cohesion** — the sense that one lives in a fair, predictable, and cooperative society — is itself a powerful predictor of happiness, independent of income.

The relationship between **equality** and happiness is supported by extensive empirical evidence. The epidemiologists Richard Wilkinson and Kate Pickett, in their influential 2009 book *The Spirit Level*, demonstrated that more equal societies perform better on virtually every indicator of social well-being — life expectancy, mental health, educational attainment, social mobility, even rates of innovation — than more unequal ones. The mechanism, they argued, is psychological: **inequality** generates status anxiety, erodes trust, and poisons social relationships in ways that damage the well-being of rich and poor alike.



Chapter IV: Work, Time, and Meaning

The psychology of meaningful labour and the case for working less

The relationship between work and happiness is complex and often counterintuitive. Work can be a profound source of meaning, identity, social connection, and personal **fulfilment**; it can also be a source of stress, exhaustion, alienation, and despair. The difference lies less in the nature of the work itself than in the conditions under which it is performed — and, crucially, in the balance between work and the rest of life.

The psychologist Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi's concept of "flow" — a state of complete absorption in a challenging but achievable task — describes the conditions under which work becomes intrinsically rewarding. Flow requires **autonomy** (the freedom to make decisions about how one works), competence (the sense that one's skills are being stretched but not overwhelmed), and purpose (the belief that one's work contributes to something meaningful). When these conditions are met, work becomes a source of deep satisfaction; when they are absent, it becomes a mere transaction of time for money.

The modern epidemic of **burnout** — a state of chronic physical and emotional exhaustion driven by sustained workplace stress — suggests that for millions of workers, these conditions are profoundly unmet. The World Health Organisation officially recognised burnout as an "occupational phenomenon" in 2019, and surveys consistently show that roughly two-thirds of full-time workers in high-income countries experience burnout symptoms. The costs — in healthcare expenditure, lost **productivity**, absenteeism, and sheer human misery — are staggering.

The Four-Day Week

The growing movement for a four-day working week represents the most concrete policy response to the work-happiness nexus. Large-scale trials in Iceland (2015–2019), involving over 2,500 workers across a range of public sector workplaces, found that reducing the working week from 40 to 35–36 hours with no reduction in pay led to maintained or improved **productivity**, significant improvements in worker well-being, and reduced rates of stress and burnout. Similar trials in the United Kingdom, Spain, and Japan have produced comparable results.

The distinction between **intrinsic** and **extrinsic** motivation is central. Extrinsic motivation — working primarily for financial reward, status, or external validation — is associated with higher levels of stress and lower levels of satisfaction. Intrinsic motivation — working because the activity itself is engaging, meaningful, or aligned with personal values — predicts higher well-being across virtually every occupational category. **Work-life balance**, far from being a luxury, is thus a fundamental determinant of human happiness.



Chapter V: Measuring What Matters

From Bhutan's Gross National Happiness to the politics of degrowth

If GDP is an inadequate measure of human progress, what should replace or supplement it? This question has moved from the margins of academic economics to the centre of [policy](#) debate. A growing number of governments, international organisations, and economists now argue that national success should be measured not by the volume of economic activity but by the well-being of citizens — a shift that, if taken seriously, would fundamentally reshape public priorities.

The tiny Himalayan kingdom of Bhutan pioneered this approach in 1972, when King Jigme Singye Wangchuck declared that "[Gross National Happiness](#) is more important than Gross National Product." Bhutan's GNH index measures progress across nine domains: psychological well-being, health, education, time use, cultural [resilience](#), good governance, community vitality, ecological diversity, and living standards. While Bhutan's model has been criticised for its subjectivity and for the gap between its ideals and the reality of life in one of the world's poorest nations, it has inspired a global conversation about what constitutes genuine progress.

The OECD's Better Life Index, launched in 2011, offers a more data-driven approach, measuring well-being across 11 dimensions — including housing, income, jobs, community, education, environment, health, life satisfaction, safety, and [work-life balance](#) — and allowing users to weight these dimensions according to their own priorities. New Zealand's Wellbeing Budget, introduced in 2019, allocates government spending according to its expected impact on citizen well-being rather than on GDP growth — a radical departure from conventional fiscal policy.

The most provocative challenge to the GDP paradigm comes from the [degrowth](#) movement, which argues that the pursuit of endless economic growth on a finite planet is not merely unsustainable but actively destructive. Degrowth advocates propose a deliberate, equitable reduction in material throughput — producing and consuming less, sharing more, and redirecting resources toward activities that enhance well-being without degrading the environment. Critics dismiss degrowth as economically naive; proponents counter that naivety lies in believing that infinite growth is possible on a planet with finite resources.



The economics of happiness thus poses a deceptively simple question with revolutionary implications: what is the economy *for*? If the answer is human [flourishing](#) — not merely the accumulation of material wealth but the creation of conditions in which people can lead meaningful, connected, healthy, and autonomous lives — then the metrics we use, the policies we pursue, and the values we embed in our institutions must change accordingly. The evidence is clear: beyond a certain threshold of material comfort, more money does not buy more happiness. What does buy happiness — strong relationships, purposeful work, trust, equality, time, freedom, and contact with nature — is available to any society wise enough to prioritise it.

Vocabulary Reference

| Term | Definition |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| GDP / Gross Domestic Product | Total monetary value of goods and services produced in a country |
| Aggregate | A combined total; considered as a whole |
| Metric | A standard of measurement used to assess performance |
| Externality | A cost or benefit affecting a party not involved in a transaction |
| Distribution | The way wealth, income, or resources are shared among a group |
| Paradox | A seemingly contradictory situation that reveals a deeper truth |
| Life Satisfaction | An individual's overall assessment of the quality of their life |
| Hedonic Adaptation | The tendency to return to a stable happiness level after changes |
| Treadmill | A cycle of effort that produces no net progress |
| Baseline | A starting point used for comparison |
| Subjective Well-Being | A person's own evaluation of their happiness and life quality |
| Social Comparison | Evaluating oneself relative to others |
| Relative Deprivation | Feeling disadvantaged compared to a reference group |
| Diminishing Returns | Decreasing additional benefit from each extra unit of input |
| Egalitarian | Believing in or promoting equal rights and opportunities for all |
| Correlation | A statistical relationship between two variables |
| Welfare State | A system where the government provides social services for citizens |
| Universal | Available to all people, without restriction |
| Trust | Firm belief in the reliability and integrity of others or institutions |
| Social Cohesion | The bonds that hold a society together; solidarity |
| Autonomy | The right or condition of self-governance; independence |
| Burnout | Chronic physical and emotional exhaustion from sustained stress |
| Productivity | The efficiency of output relative to input |
| Intrinsic | Belonging to the essential nature of something; internal motivation |
| Extrinsic | Coming from outside; external motivation |
| Work-Life Balance | Equilibrium between professional duties and personal life |
| Fulfillment | Satisfaction gained from achieving one's potential or desires |
| Gross National Happiness | Bhutan's holistic development index measuring well-being |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Degrowth | Advocating a planned reduction of material production and consumption |
| Resilience | The capacity to recover quickly from difficulties |
| Flourishing | Living well; thriving in all dimensions of human experience |
| Policy | A course of action adopted by a government or organisation |
| Redistribution | The transfer of income or wealth to achieve greater equality |

Fill-in-the-Blank Exercise

Word Bank: *hedonic adaptation, GDP, diminishing returns, welfare state, social comparison, burnout, autonomy, degrowth, Gross National Happiness, work-life balance*

1. _____ measures total economic output but ignores unpaid labour, environmental damage, and inequality.
2. The tendency to return to a stable level of happiness after major events is known as _____.
3. Beyond a certain income threshold, additional wealth produces _____ in terms of happiness.
4. The Nordic _____ provides universal healthcare, education, and social security to all citizens.
5. Evaluating one's own circumstances relative to peers is called _____.
6. Chronic workplace exhaustion recognised by the WHO as an occupational phenomenon is called _____.
7. The freedom to make decisions about how one works is a key aspect of _____.
8. The _____ movement advocates a planned reduction of material production on a finite planet.
9. Bhutan's _____ index measures progress across nine domains including psychological well-being and ecology.
10. The equilibrium between professional duties and personal life is known as _____.

Answers: 1. GDP 2. hedonic adaptation 3. diminishing returns 4. welfare state 5. social comparison 6. burnout 7. autonomy 8. degrowth 9. Gross National Happiness 10. work-life balance

Comprehension Quiz

1. Simon Kuznets, the inventor of GDP, warned that:

- A) GDP would cause inflation
- B) National welfare cannot be inferred from national income**
- C) GDP should replace all other metrics
- D) Only wealthy nations should use GDP

2. GDP fails to account for all of the following EXCEPT:

- A) Unpaid domestic labour
- B) Environmental degradation
- C) Total market value of goods and services**
- D) Income inequality

3. The Easterlin Paradox shows that:

- A) Richer individuals are always happier
- B) National income growth does not reliably increase average happiness**
- C) Poverty has no effect on well-being
- D) Money is irrelevant at all income levels

4. Hedonic adaptation refers to:

- A) Adapting to a new climate
- B) The tendency to return to a stable happiness level after changes**
- C) Learning to enjoy healthy food
- D) Adjusting to a new workplace

5. According to the text, beyond approximately \$60,000–\$90,000 in household income:

- A) Happiness increases exponentially
- B) Additional income produces diminishing returns in happiness**
- C) People become less productive
- D) Social relationships deteriorate

6. The Nordic countries consistently rank highest in happiness primarily because of:

- A) Their warm climate
- B) High GDP per capita alone
- C) Universal welfare, trust, equality, and social cohesion**
- D) Low population density

7. The Iceland four-day week trials found that reducing hours led to:

- A) Significant productivity losses
- B) Maintained or improved productivity with better well-being**
- C) Higher staff turnover
- D) Increased workplace conflict

8. The distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation is important because:

- A) Extrinsic motivation always leads to higher performance
- B) Intrinsic motivation predicts higher well-being across occupations**
- C) They are essentially the same thing
- D) Only extrinsic motivation matters in the workplace

9. Bhutan's Gross National Happiness index measures progress across:

- A) Three domains
- B) Five domains
- C) Nine domains**
- D) Twelve domains

10. The degrowth movement argues that:

- A) Economic growth should be accelerated
- B) Only developing countries should limit growth
- C) Endless growth on a finite planet is destructive and unsustainable**
- D) Technology alone can solve environmental problems